

Dec 23, 1994 - en route to Grenada  
Smithson: (from Barcelona)

As their expression of:

- officials on Expos way on SU
- " " Bomb at Potsdam?

(Rejected by Byrnes + GSt)

(Shaw, Band, <sup>Malley</sup> Lyland  
earlier: four, big)

vs. HCC

- Poss. effects of SU entry? Marshall  
vs Byrnes (Lyland)

- Ask: What were "sumner times" signed on the  
Missouri?

- Note (BB, p. 11): Those implying GSt desire to end war  
& save US his as quickly as possible, argue as if invasion  
was in progress (as when Shaw proposed times in May 28, 45?)

new Def:  
OR IMMINENT,  
STOPPABLE/  
POSTPONABLE/  
ONLY BY  
JAPANESE  
SURRENDER

- Would you accept new def for Tokyo body? For top/for det?

To Falk: - What is your attitude on unilateral total  
disarmament?

like,  
OKINAWA

- Relation of "law" to this? Does it require,  
or not?

- Strength of "practice modifies law" argument?  
Answer?

- How would you have argued to: SU?

China?

UK? F?

imminent, or illegal to require  
us?

India?

France?

when it violates law?

To develop?

To arm?

To threaten?

To "use", in case of ...?

when it unanimous, unanimous? (Attention politics?)

- What state/leader would Falk trust with a monopoly of  
(100-200 new crime weapons: enough to wipe out US cap. to  
reconstitute det. cap. in disarmed world)? (could it knock out all cap. of all?)



Comment

me: Crimson  
Squire

unlike me: no USMC / front Mems  
total war comment  
(like Dyson)

39 Trama: summar

- ambitions (Pres, Admin)

followed immediately

↳ his Pres ("kept us out of war") = 1964:

leading us into war!

not-taking?

chem lab  
explains

"pacifist" (who makes poison gas?)

(I - see pac - who...)

41

- shift in attitude toward military war (me: Korea, USMC)  
and toward WWII!

later: in VN

41 Sum gas attack, April 1915 - broke Hague

Convention: any trials? prisoners?

created ETS in 1946: & took tests in 1947: 81 Not. belated  
mainst

45 (unlike me): no introduction to front War / Mems

from pacifism to Unlimited Mems

(like Dyson)

No USMC, like me.

46. Was any Thorp gim to a legal approach

against Sum gas, in 1915 or 1917? OR DETERRENCE?

1/0. affirmative.

CORRECTION?

"Daw and  
Peto &  
Narrow"

↳ Canine Comps it 24 (J, 23)

loc. of (gas) and war (= war!)

Gas:

1917-18: - science + gas + industry

- prod. problem

- C violates feasibility (of war prod)

Total war

- violates laws of war; sp

- specifically inhuman

- secret project

- was "win the war"

- not for deterrence or defense, but offensive use

- rush - us - safety

- ten tons a day - the expensive toys: was and

quantities for



He didn't most like Frank to gas exhumed!  
But he did [why?] to A-bomb exhumed!  
He applied Frank agents to A-bomb!

<sup>sent</sup>  
as poison-maker, for weapons, he continued  
84 of Budden's "Nob"! Wrong kind of

making weapons  
making poisons

telling lies (many)

killing (humans - like rats)

130000

62 Fritz  
like Haber - organism / researcher into efficiency messengers!  
[= gas chambers!]

(see Haber's colleague - A-bomb (FVH...))

pro-Human in 1914-16! Fall in love with Henry  
1925! (= Kitty: Cold Warrior! Removal of albinos  
no moral critic of Haber!

some regard this occupation as "outside the  
pale of respectable people"

[Did C have this to deny, challenge,  
later? like Teller on Oppenheimer?]

68 "no rights"

(vs. "anti-intellectual")

= TR, Adler...